Copyright © Lipke 2014



INTRODUCTION TO EARNED SCHEDULE

Walt Lipke PMI® - Oklahoma City +1 405 364 1594 waltlipke@cox.net www.earnedschedule.com



Abstract



Earned Schedule is an extension to Earned Value Management. The method provides considerable capability to project managers for analysis of schedule performance. From the time of the public's first view of Earned Schedule, its propagation and uptake around the world has been extraordinary. This presentation will cover the capabilities, affirmation, and resources available supporting the practice.

Overview

- Description
- Capabilities
- Affirmation
- Resources
- Computation
- Summary





DESCRIPTION

EVM Schedule Indicators







Earned Schedule Concept



PMB at which PV equals the EV accrued at month 7.



Earned Schedule Concept

- Formula
 - ES = C + I

where: C = number of time increments for $EV \ge PV$ I = (EV - PV_C) / (PV_{C+1} - PV_C)

- Indicators
 - Schedule Variance: SV(t) = ES AT
 - Schedule Performance Index: SPI(t) = ES / AT

Copyright © Lipke 2014



CAPABILITIES



- Reliable indicators SV(t) & SPI(t)
 - True performance at completion



EVM schedule indicators fail for late performing projects





- Forecasting
 - Duration & completion date
 - <u>Always</u> converges to actual result







- Prediction
 - To Complete Schedule Performance Index (TSPI)
 - Answers question "Is completion at (time) achievable?"





Critical Path

Comparison of project and CP performance

		••• Performance Period •••												
	Indicator	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	CPIp	XXX	XXX	0.800	0.800	0.827	0.771	0.900	0.838	0.727	0.900	0.750	0.600	1.000
	CPIc	XXX	XXX	0.800	0.800	0.818	0.804	0.818	0.822	0.812	0.816	0.810	0.805	0.808
Total	SPI(t)p	XXX	0.000	0.800	1.486	1.314	0.775	0.450	0.975	0.700	0.450	1.950	0.500	0.600
Project	SPI(t)c	XXX	0.000	0.400	0.762	0.900	0.875	0.804	0.829	0.813	0.772	0.890	0.855	0.833
	SPIp	XXX	0.000	0.800	0.457	1.433	0.675	0.600	1.550	3.200	0.900	3.000	XXX	XXX
	SPIc	XXX	0.000	0.400	0.444	0.840	0.783	0.745	0.842	0.912	0.911	0.968	0.984	1.000
	IEAC(t)	XXX	XXX	25.00	13.13	11.11	11.43	12.44	12.07	12.31	12.95	11.24	11.70	12.00
	CPIp	XXX	XXX	0.800	0.800	0.833	0.600	XXX	0.800	0.667	XXX	0.714		
	CPIc	XXX	XXX	0.800	0.800	0.815	0.781	0.781	0.787	0.763	0.763	0.753		
Critical Path	SPI(t)p	xxx	0.000	0.800	1.600	2.000	0.600	0.000	1.700	1.300	0.000	2.000		
1-4-8-10	SPI(t)c	xxx	0.000	0.400	0.800	1.100	1.000	0.833	0.957	1.000	0.889	1.000		
	SPIp	XXX	0.000	0.800	1.600	2.000	0.600	0.000	1.200	1.600	0.000	2.000		
	SPIc	XXX	0.000	0.400	0.800	1.100	1.000	0.833	0.925	1.000	0.900	1.000		
	IEAC(t)	XXX	XXX	25.00	12.50	9.09	10.00	12.00	10.45	10.00	11.25	10.00	XXX	XXX



13

Capabilities

- Detail Analysis Schedule Adherence
 - Identifies out of sequence performance
 - Isolates tasks constraints/impediments & rework
 - Facilitates calculations EV_R & rework forecast, EV_{eff}







- Discontinuous performance stop work & downtime
 - Accommodates and improves forecasting



- Schedule Topology
 - Longest path concept improves forecasts for parallel networks

- Simple theory
- Initial prototype
- Independent confirmation
 - Trials
 - Testing
 - Usage
- EVM Tools
- Educators/Researchers
- Awards

- Simple theory
- Initial prototype
- Independent confirmation
 - Trials

"The retrospective analysis of ES using my own EVM projects' data, ... has confirmed with remarkable precision the accuracy of the ES concept and ES metrics ...when compared to their historic EVM counterparts."

- Henderson (2003)

Awards

- Simple theory
 - Initial prototype

"The results reveal that the earned schedule method outperforms, on the average, all other forecasting methods." - Vanhoucke & Vandevoorde (2007)

Testing

"This research finds Earned Schedule to be a more timely and accurate predictor than Earned Value Management."

- Capt. Kevin Crumrine (2013)

Awards

	Evi	dence of Earned Schedule Usage						
		Lockheed-Martin						
	USA	Boeing Booze-Allen-Hamilton						
Application	Australia	Government & Defense Projects are generally extremely Iarge, running for a decade or Iarge, running for a decade or Private & Defense more and costing in excess of						
	UK Belgium Kazakhstan	Network Rail & Defense \$1 Billion. Fabricom (GDF-SUEZ) Petroleum Development						
	India	Building Construction						
University Coursework	USA	West Virginia University, Pennsylvania State University						
	non-USA	University of Ghent (Belgium), Australian National University Earned Schedule by Watter H. Lipke						
	USA	Project Management Theory and Practice by Dr. Gary L. Richardson The Earned Value Maturity Model by Ray W. Stratton A Practical Cuide to Earned Value Management and Edition by Charles & Chadere Budd						
Books		Project Management Achieving Competitive Advantage by Jeffrey K. Pinto Project Management Achieving Competitive Advantage by Jeffrey K. Pinto Practice Standard for Earned Value Management by Project Management Institute						
	non-USA	Measuring Time: Improving Project Performance Using Earned Value Management by Dr. Mario Vanhoucke Earned Schedule - an emerging Earned Value technique issued by UK APM EVM SIG						

Resources

Earned Schedule Website

http://www.earnedschedule.com/

- Papers, Presentations, Calculators, Terminology
- PMI® Practice Standard for Earned Value Management, 2nd Edition
- *Earned Schedule* book (English, Japanese, Portuguese)
 - Print
 - ePub (Nook & iPad)
 - Kindle
 - PDF

ES

Resources

- Read two articles ...to begin
 - "Schedule is Different"
 - "Further Developments in Earned Schedule"
- Scan the Calculators ... experiment with them
 - ES Calculator (v1b & vs1b)
 - ES-LP Calculator
 - P-Factor Calculator
 - Statistical Forecasting Calculator
 - SA Index & Rework Calculator
 - Prediction Analysis Calculator

22

Contacts

Name	Country	Email			
Walt Lipke	USA	waltlipke@cox.net			
Kym Henderson	Australia	kym.henderson@gmail.com			
Mario Vanhoucke	Belgium	mario.vanhoucke@ugent.be			
Stephen Vandevoorde	Belgium	stephen.vandevoorde@ fabricom-gdfsuez.com			
Alex Davis	UK	alex.davis@uwclub.net			
Robert Van De Velde	Canada	vandev@primus.ca			
Kotaro Mizuno	Japan	kmamizuno@nifty.com			

ES COMPUTATION

ES Computation Example

ES Computation Example

ES Computation Example

Earned Schedule Terminology

Metrics	Earned Schedule	ES _{cum}	ES = C + I number of complete periods (C) plus an incomplete portion (I)		
	Actual Time	AT _{cum}	AT = number of periods executed		
	Sabadula Varianaa	SV(t)	SV(t) = ES – AT		
	Schedule variance	SV(t)%	SV(t)% = (ES – AT) / ES		
Indicators	Schedule Performance Index	SPI(t)	SPI(t) = ES / AT		
	To Complete Schedule	TEDI	TSPI = (PD – ES) / (PD – AT)		
	Performance Index	1351	TSPI = (PD – ES) / (ED – AT)		
	Independent Estimate		IEAC(t) = PD / SPI(t)		
Predictors	at Completion (time)		IEAC(t) = AT + (PD – ES) / PF(t)		
	Variance at Completion	VAC(t)	VAC(t) = PD - IEAC(t) or ED		

Copyright © Lipke 2014

SUMMARY

Summary

- Derived from EVM data ... only
- Provides time-based schedule indicators
- Indicators do not fail for late finish projects
- Application is scalable up/down, just as is EVM
- Schedule prediction is better than any other EVM method presently used
 - SPI(t) & SV(t) behave similarly to CPI & CV
 - IEAC(t) = PD / SPI(t) behaves similarly to IEAC = BAC / CPI

Summary

- Schedule performance analysis much easier and possibly better than "bottom-up" methods
- Application is growing in both small and large projects
- Practice recognized by PMI in EVM Practice Standard
- Resource availability enhanced with ES website and Wikipedia
- Research indicates ES superior to other methods

Hopefully you are encouraged to – <u>Give ES a try!</u>

